

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/748,405	12/21/2000	William E. Webler	1275.24US01	4564
7:	590 11/18/2003		EXAMINER	
Mark A Hollingsworth			MAIORINO, ROZ	
CRAWFORD PLLC 1270 Northland Drvie			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 390		3763		
Mendota Heights, MN 55120			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/748,405	WEBLER, WILLIAM E.				
1	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Roz Maiorino	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 04 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 17 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any partned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections:	etion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	· / /—	•				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
0. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
		RM				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowanc becaus: applicant alleges Bednarek's guidewire is employed to provide a toth from the left atrium transseptally to the incorportation of a distal extramily, and that is a different functions than the applicath's guidewire, however that is a functional difference and will not be given any weight, sinne Bednarek's guidewire is capable of functioning in the same manner the applicants device does. applicant further alleges Bednarek does not teach a distal tip opening, however as showing in figure 11 the distal tip 40 does have an opening. Applicants also alleges the distal tip cannot be oriented at an angle with respect to a longitudinal axis, however as demonstrated by figures 1,-2, 4, 7, 8, 9 and 13, the distal tip is in angle with respect to the longitudinal axis. It is unclear if the method claims 17-18 are dependent on claim 1 or an independent form. however if the applicant wirtes claim 18-17 in an independent form they will be allowable.

BRIAN L. CASLER

BRIAN L. CASLER

SUPERVISORY PUTENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700